UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,584	03/15/2004	Jose Madeira De Freitas Garcia	G&C 30566.320-US-01 1678	
55895 GATES & COO	7590 09/07/2007 OPER LLP		EXAM	INER
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER			TAKELE, MESEKER	
LOS ANGELE	DRIVE WEST, SUITE 1 S, CA 90045	30	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		$m \sim$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/800,584	GARCIA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Meseker Takele	2174				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 J	<u>une 2007</u> .					
,	2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under I						
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application	l .					
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	٠.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 March 2004</u> is/are:	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 March 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	·					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e.Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	·				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applicat	tion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) U Other:					

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is responsive to the Amendment filed June 19, 2007.

2. Claims 1-15 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 6 and 11 are independent claims.

Claims 1, 6 and 11 were amended. This action is made Final.

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a

prior Office action.

Applicant's Response

Based on Applicant's amendments and remarks, the following objections and 1.

rejections previously set forth in Office Action dated 03/19/2007 are withdrawn:

a) Objection to Specification

b) 35 U.S.C. 101 Rejection to claim 11.

Drawings

Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as -- Prior Art-- because only that 1.

which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37

CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application.

The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37

CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the examiner does not

accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action

in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 2. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2174

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonney et al. (US Patent No: 6,466,953) in view of Powell et al. (US 2004/0078394) and in further in view of Barnes et al. (US Patent No.: 6,633,674).

As to claims 1, 6 and 11, Bonney discloses, a method for operating a graphics program in a computer (example, method for graphical display, computer aided design (CAD) application, such as Sheet Set Manager, see col., 3 lines 1-2, col., 2 line, 66, col., 2 lines, 66-67 and abstract) comprising:

performing one or more functions of a Sheet Set Manager in the graphics program (example, computer system 100 to manage and organize objects of drawing sheets of a CAD, see col., 3 lines, 46-48, col., 1 lines, 26-30 and abstract),

(a) wherein the Sheet Set Manager manages one or more Sheet Sets, each of the Sheet Sets comprises a collection of zero or more Sheets and Subsets of the Sheets each of the Sheets comprises a drawing, layout or view (example, Drawings, in general, may include many details of the models such as, but not limited, alternate views, section views, detail views of certain aspects of each of the models" (see col. 1 lines 26-30 and Examiner considers the drawings to be a set of drawing sheets and the section views to be subsets of the sheets).

Art Unit: 2174

However Bonney does not disclose, automatically packaging, communicating and storing of the Sheet Set, Subsets and Sheets, and associated files, as a cohesive unit, such that the transmittal and archive function acts upon the Sheet Set, Subsets and Sheets, and associated files as a whole using one-step functionality.

Powell from the same field of endeavor discloses automatically packaging, communicating and storing of the Sheet Set, Subsets and Sheets, and associated files, as a cohesive unit, such that the transmittal and archive function acts upon the Sheet Set, Subsets and Sheets, and associated files as a whole using one-step functionality (example, Examiner considers electronic envelope (120), zip, such as automatically packaging, an electronic storage, such as storing, submitting documents electronically, such as communicating, see paragraph [0024].

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified Bonney's CAD application with electronic envelope as presented by Powell.

However Bonney and Powell do not expressly disclose transmittal and archive. Barnes from the same field of endeavor discloses transmittal and archive (example, such as picture archiving and communication, see col., 2 lines, 39-40).

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine Barne's teaching with the method of Bonney and Powell in order to allow requesting clients to rapidly and accurately access image data files stored within the system

4. Claims 6 and 11 are similar in scope to claim 1 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2174

As to claims 2, 7 and 12, Powell discloses, wherein the transmittal and archive function collects all files related to the Sheet Set Subsets, and Sheets (see paragraph [0054]).

5. Claims 7 and 12 are similar in scope to claim 2 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

As to claims 3, 8 and 13, Bonney does not disclose, wherein the transmittal and archive function automatically extracts and bundles, all referenced files related to the Sheet Set, Subsets, and Sheets.

Powell from the same field of endeavor discloses, extracts and bundle all referenced files related to the Sheet Set (example, zip, packaging (envelop 120), retrieved, automation, various types of files, paragraph [0024], [0050], [0004] and [0054]).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have modified the modified Bonney's CAD application with bundling files as presented by Powell.

The motivation to combine will allow minimization of the space required for storage or transmission. Because "zipping" for example is well - known in the art to bundle and compress a group of files into a package file.

- 6. Claims 8 and 13 are similar in scope to claim 3 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.
- 7. Claims 4, 5, 9, 10 and 14, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonney et al. (US Patent No: 6,466,953) in view of Powell et al. (US 2004/0078394) and Barnes et al. (US Patent No.: 6,633,674) as applied to claims 1, 6 and 11 and in further view of Brown et al. (US 2004/0088657).

Art Unit: 2174

As to claims 4, 9 and 14, the modified Bonney discloses all the claim limitations of claims 1, 6 and 11 but does not expressly disclose support files necessary to view and edit the Sheets. Brown from the same field of endeavor discloses all support files necessary to view and edit the Sheets (example, CAD file, font, see paragraph [0022] and Figure (element 27).

It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine Brown's teaching with the method of Bonney and Powell in order to have allowed a user to have been able to read labels or comments of the cad program in different languages, thereby overcoming a language barrier between two users accessing a CAD document.

8. Claims 9 and 14 are similar in scope to claim 4 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

As to claims 5, 10 and 15, Powell discloses, wherein the transmittal and archive function compresses the Sheet Set, Subsets, and Sheets and prepares them to be saved, emailed, or otherwise transmitted (example, Zip, When a document is stored and then retrieved the document is restored to its original binary sequence (that means it was compressed), see paragraph, [0024]). Because "zipping" for example is well - known in the art to bundle and compress a group of files into a package file.

9. Claims 10 and 15 are similar in scope to claim 5 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to the amended claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2174

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meseker Takele whose telephone number is (571) 270-1653. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30AM- 5:00PM est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MT

Bristine Zincaid

KRISTINE KINCAID

SUPERVISORY PAIENT EXAMINER

Page 7

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100